Sikh Disinformation Lab

MISLEADING

Firstpost 'Vantage' framing of India–Canada reset glosses over open Nijjar investigation

A Firstpost video segment published on 14 October 2026 frames renewed India–Canada trade talks as burying the "Trudeau fallout" over the 2023 killing of Hardeep Singh Nijjar. The Lab's review finds the framing misleading: diplomatic normalization has not resolved, and does not speak to, the criminal-accountability questions that remain open.

PUBLISHED
19 October 2026

SOURCE POSTED
14 October 2026

NARRATIVES
Portraying Advocacy for Khalistan as Terrorism

OUR ASSESSMENT

The principal contextual distinction concerns the characterization of the earlier diplomatic dispute. Canada's response to Hardeep Singh Nijjar's assassination was not simply a political disagreement between Trudeau and Modi. On October 14, 2024, the RCMP publicly disclosed investigative evidence linking agents of the Government of India to serious criminal activity in Canada, including violent acts, organized crime and threats affecting members of the pro-Khalistan community. India denied the allegations, and individual criminal responsibility remains subject to investigation and judicial proceedings.

The subsequent record is more complex than either an unresolved diplomatic confrontation or a complete retraction. In March 2026, RCMP Commissioner Mike Duheme stated that current criminal investigations were not establishing foreign-government links on the information then available. Those remarks warrant acknowledgment but did not expressly withdraw the separate findings publicly announced in October 2024. In July 2026, the RCMP also announced major Canada–US enforcement action involving organized-crime networks, identifying Nijjar's killing among the violent crimes addressed.

Canada and India have therefore restored diplomatic engagement while important historical accountability and criminal-investigation questions remain distinct from that normalization. Renewed negotiations and trade cooperation cannot independently establish that earlier allegations were false, just as the existence of those allegations does not negate the reality of improved bilateral relations.

The available headline risks compressing these separate developments into a narrative of completed reconciliation. Whether the full Vantage segment makes this distinction cannot be established without reviewing its narration. The documented finding is therefore a potential contextual-framing concern, not a demonstrated fabrication or confirmed attempt to erase the Nijjar investigation.

THE CLAIM

Modi, Carney Bury The Trudeau Fallout? India-Canada Eye $70 Billion Trade | Vantage

THE ANALYSIS

What was published

On 14 October 2026, the Indian outlet Firstpost published a segment in its *Vantage* strand under the headline "Modi, Carney Bury The Trudeau Fallout? India-Canada Eye $70 Billion Trade." The item reports that India and Canada are pursuing what it describes as a major diplomatic and economic reset between Prime Minister Narendra Modi and Prime Minister Mark Carney, and refers back to the allegations linking the Indian government to the 2023 killing in British Columbia of Hardeep Singh Nijjar whom the item describes as a "Khalistani terrorist" noting that India rejected those allegations.

Verdict

The Lab assesses the item as misleading, with a severity rating of 2 of 5. The concern is one of contextual framing rather than fabrication: the headline risks compressing separate and ongoing developments into a narrative of completed reconciliation.

The analytical distinction is this. Canada's response to Nijjar's assassination was not simply a political disagreement between Mr. Trudeau and Mr. Modi. On 14 October 2024, the RCMP publicly disclosed investigative evidence linking agents of the Government of India to serious criminal activity in Canada, including violent acts, organized crime and threats affecting members of the pro-Khalistan community. India denied the allegations. Individual criminal responsibility remains subject to investigation and judicial proceedings.

The record since then is more complex than either an unresolved standoff or a retraction. In March 2026, RCMP Commissioner Mike Duheme stated that current criminal investigations were not establishing foreign-government links on the information then available. In July 2026, the RCMP announced major Canada–US enforcement action involving organized-crime networks, identifying Nijjar's killing among the violent crimes addressed.

Canada and India have restored diplomatic engagement. That normalization is real. It is also distinct from the historical accountability and criminal-investigation questions, which remain live.

The claims assessed

Claim 1: that the Nijjar-related investigation and accountability questions have been resolved. Verdict: misleading. The July 2026 RCMP statement continued to identify Nijjar's killing in the context of major organized-crime investigations. Resumption of bilateral talks does not close an investigative file.

Claim 2: that Canada withdrew its October 2024 allegations against Indian government agents. Verdict: misleading. No formal withdrawal has been identified. Commissioner Duheme's March 2026 remarks concerned the absence of a presently established foreign link in the criminal cases he was discussing; they warrant acknowledgment, but they did not expressly withdraw the separate findings publicly announced in October 2024. Treating the two as equivalent overstates what was said.

Claim 3: that economic cooperation demonstrates the original allegations were unfounded. Verdict: unsupported. Trade policy decisions are not findings about criminal responsibility. Renewed negotiations cannot independently establish that earlier allegations were false — just as the existence of those allegations does not negate the reality of improved bilateral relations.

Scope and limits of this finding

The Lab's review is based on the headline and the captured portions of the item. Whether the full *Vantage* narration draws the distinctions set out above cannot be established without reviewing the segment in its entirety. The documented finding is therefore a potential contextual-framing concern — not a demonstrated fabrication, and not a confirmed attempt to erase the Nijjar investigation from the record.

Readers should also note the item's description of Mr. Nijjar as a "Khalistani terrorist." That characterization is the publisher's, and is reproduced here only to describe the content accurately.

Context

The item falls within the Portraying Advocacy for Khalistan as Terrorism narrative, which the Lab tracks for framings that treat the resumption of India–Canada relations as retrospective vindication on the Nijjar file. The pattern is not that such coverage invents events. It is that normalization and accountability are folded into a single storyline, with the second quietly resolved by the first. Both can be reported accurately at once; doing so requires keeping them apart.

CLAIMS ASSESSED

01	The Nijjar-related investigation and accountability questions have been resolved.	MISLEADING
02	Canada withdrew its October 2024 allegations against Indian government agents.	MISLEADING
03	Economic cooperation demonstrates that the original allegations were unfounded.	UNSUPPORTED

SOURCES

01 https://www.firstpost.com/vantage/modi-carney-bury-the-trudeau-fallout-india-canada-eye-70-billion-trade-vantage-vd2223990/

02 RCMP public disclosure of 14 October 2024 regarding evidence linking agents of the Government of India to criminal activity in Canada (as cited in the Lab's analyst review)

03 Remarks of RCMP Commissioner Mike Duheme, March 2026 (as cited in the Lab's analyst review)

04 RCMP announcement of Canada–US enforcement action against organized-crime networks, July 2026 (as cited in the Lab's analyst review)

Sikh Disinformation Lab

About Sikh Disinformation Lab

Sikh Disinformation Lab is an independent, evidence-based programme founded and hosted by the World Sikh Organization of Canada. It monitors, analyses and publicly documents disinformation affecting Sikhs.

The Lab examines claims against evidence, publishes assessments with that evidence attached, and tracks coordinated campaigns over time. Every published assessment names what was claimed, where it appeared, what the review found, and what that assessment rests on. Where an item makes several distinct claims, each is assessed separately.

Assessments are made against the methodology framework in force at the time of publication. That framework is public, versioned, and reviewed at each phase gate; a material change produces a new version rather than a silent edit. The full method is published at sikhdisinfolab.ca/method.

Corrections are dated entries, never silent edits. Where a published assessment is found to be wrong or incomplete, the correction is recorded against it and listed at sikhdisinfolab.ca/corrections. If you believe something published here is inaccurate, write to the programme and it will be reviewed.

Assessments are the responsibility of Sikh Disinformation Lab.

This project has been made possible with support from the Government of Canada.

> This document was generated from the published assessment at sikhdisinfolab.ca. The version of record is the one on the site, which carries any corrections issued after this file was made.