Sikh Disinformation Lab

MISLEADING

Hindustan Times report on Nikhil Gupta sentencing: accurate core, misleading labelling

A Hindustan Times report on the 19-year US sentence handed to Nikhil Gupta repeatedly describes Gurpatwant Singh Pannun as a "Sikh extremist" and misidentifies the US Attorney as the sentencing judge. The Lab's assessment: Misleading.

PUBLISHED
24 November 2026

SOURCE POSTED
20 November 2026

NARRATIVES
Labelling Sikh Individuals as Terrorists Without Evidence · Portraying Advocacy for Khalistan as Terrorism

OUR ASSESSMENT

Hindustan Times reports Nikhil Gupta's 235-month prison sentence and provides substantial details about the US assassination conspiracy targeting Sikh political activist Gurpatwant Singh Pannun. However, the article repeatedly describes Pannun as a “Sikh extremist” without establishing the basis for that characterization. India's designation of Pannun under its domestic anti-terrorism legislation does not constitute a finding by the US court.

The report also incorrectly refers to US Attorney Jamie McDonald as a judge, although Judge Victor Marrero presided over sentencing. Its repeated use of extremism-related terminology reflects a broader framing concern in coverage of Sikh self-determination advocacy, even as the article correctly documents Gupta's guilty plea, the alleged involvement of Indian government employee Vikash Yadav, and the political motive identified by US prosecutors.

THE CLAIM

Indian national who pleaded guilty in Pannun murder plot case gets 19-year jail term in US

THE ANALYSIS

What was published

On 20 November 2026, Hindustan Times published a report headlined "Indian national who pleaded guilty in Pannun murder plot case gets 19-year jail term in US". The article states that Indian national Nikhil Gupta was sentenced to 235 months in prison in connection with a murder-for-hire plot targeting Gurpatwant Singh Pannun, whom the report describes as a "Sikh extremist".

The Lab's assessment

The Lab assesses the item as Misleading, with a severity rating of 3 of 5.

The core reporting is accurate. The article correctly documents Gupta's guilty plea, the sentence imposed, the alleged involvement of an Indian government employee, and the political motive identified by US prosecutors. The problems lie in its characterisation of the target and in one factual error about who delivered the sentencing statement.

Claim by claim

The following assertions in the report are assessed as True: that Nikhil Gupta pleaded guilty in the Pannun murder plot case; that he was sentenced to 235 months (19 years) in prison in the United States; that the case involved a murder-for-hire plot against Pannun; that Gupta participated in a murder-for-hire conspiracy targeting Pannun in New York; that at least one Indian government employee was involved in the alleged direction of the conspiracy; that Vikash Yadav was identified as Gupta's co-defendant; and that the plot targeted Pannun because of his political ideology.

Three further assertions are assessed as True as supported prosecution allegations or record: that Yadav allegedly provided Gupta with Pannun's home address, phone numbers and daily-routine information; that Gupta forwarded personal information and surveillance photographs during the planning; and that Gupta urged the supposed contract killer to carry out the assassination promptly.

The report's statement that Gupta described himself as an international narcotics and weapons trafficker is assessed as True as a reported self-description. That is not independent proof of all such activity.

Claim: Pannun is a "Sikh extremist". Verdict: Misleading. The article uses this description repeatedly without establishing an evidentiary basis for it. India's designation of Pannun under its domestic anti-terrorism legislation does not constitute a finding by the US court that sentenced Gupta. Pannun is a Sikh political activist, and the report presents a contested characterisation as an unqualified descriptor.

Claim: Jamie McDonald was the judge who made the sentencing statement. Verdict: False. McDonald is the US Attorney, not the sentencing judge. Judge Victor Marrero presided over the sentencing.

Claim: Gupta's actions are described as merely alleged. Verdict: Misleading. The report's wording refers to conduct as alleged in passages dealing with conduct Gupta had already admitted through his guilty plea.

Context

The repeated use of extremism-related terminology in this report reflects a broader framing concern in coverage of Sikh self-determination advocacy. The effect here is that a court outcome centred on an assassination conspiracy directed from abroad is reported through a descriptor applied to the intended victim, without the article setting out what supports that descriptor.

The Lab's assessment identifies the unsupported characterisation of Pannun, the misattribution of the sentencing statement, and the use of "alleged" for admitted conduct as the elements that render the item misleading.

CLAIMS ASSESSED

01	Nikhil Gupta pleaded guilty in the Pannun murder plot case.	TRUE
02	Nikhil Gupta was sentenced to 235 months (19 years) in prison in the United States.	TRUE
03	The case involved a murder-for-hire plot against Pannun.	TRUE
04	Pannun is a Sikh extremist.	MISLEADING
05	Gupta participated in a murder-for-hire conspiracy targeting Pannun in New York.	TRUE
06	At least one Indian government employee was involved in the alleged direction of the conspiracy.	TRUE
07	Vikash Yadav was identified as Gupta's co-defendant.	TRUE
08	Jamie McDonald was the judge who made the sentencing statement.	FALSE
09	Gupta described himself as an international narcotics and weapons trafficker.	TRUE
10	Yadav allegedly provided Gupta with Pannun's home address, phone numbers and daily-routine information.	TRUE
11	Gupta forwarded personal information and surveillance photographs during the planning.	TRUE
12	Gupta urged the supposed contract killer to carry out the assassination promptly.	TRUE
13	The plot targeted Pannun because of his political ideology.	TRUE
14	The report identifies Gupta's actions as merely alleged despite his guilty plea.	MISLEADING

SOURCES

01 https://www.hindustantimes.com/world-news/pannun-murder-plot-us-court-sentences-nikhil-gupta-to-over-19-year-prison-term-for-bi

Sikh Disinformation Lab

About Sikh Disinformation Lab

Sikh Disinformation Lab is an independent, evidence-based programme founded and hosted by the World Sikh Organization of Canada. It monitors, analyses and publicly documents disinformation affecting Sikhs.

The Lab examines claims against evidence, publishes assessments with that evidence attached, and tracks coordinated campaigns over time. Every published assessment names what was claimed, where it appeared, what the review found, and what that assessment rests on. Where an item makes several distinct claims, each is assessed separately.

Assessments are made against the methodology framework in force at the time of publication. That framework is public, versioned, and reviewed at each phase gate; a material change produces a new version rather than a silent edit. The full method is published at sikhdisinfolab.ca/method.

Corrections are dated entries, never silent edits. Where a published assessment is found to be wrong or incomplete, the correction is recorded against it and listed at sikhdisinfolab.ca/corrections. If you believe something published here is inaccurate, write to the programme and it will be reviewed.

Assessments are the responsibility of Sikh Disinformation Lab.

This project has been made possible with support from the Government of Canada.

> This document was generated from the published assessment at sikhdisinfolab.ca. The version of record is the one on the site, which carries any corrections issued after this file was made.