Sikh Disinformation Lab

MISLEADING

IANS Syndication Recasts Khalsa Vox Opinion Column as a "Report" on Sikh Views

A MENAFN item headlined "Khalistan Movement Liability, Not Cause, For Majority Of Sikhs: Report" presents an opinion column as research establishing what most Sikhs believe. The Sikh Disinformation Lab finds the item misleading: the underlying source is labelled Opinion and contains no survey data or stated methodology.

PUBLISHED
20 October 2026

SOURCE POSTED
19 October 2026

NARRATIVES
Labelling Sikhs as “Good” or “Bad” Sikhs · Portraying Advocacy for Khalistan as Terrorism · Linking Sikhs to Gangs, Drugs and Organized Crime · Portraying Sikh Protests as Extremist Activity · Portraying the Sikh Freedom Struggle and the Events of 1984 and After Solely as Terrorism · Claiming Pakistan Controls Sikh Political Activism · Stereotyping Sikh Youth and Migrants as Dishonest or Dependent

OUR ASSESSMENT

The most important finding is the presentation of an opinion article as a report establishing the views of the majority of Sikhs. The underlying Khalsa Vox article, published October 17, advances arguments concerning Sikh religious teachings, Khalistan advocacy, historical violence and the movement's popularity. IANS reproduces those arguments as findings from a report, although the source is explicitly labelled Opinion and supplies no original survey data or research methodology.

It is important because a political interpretation is being presented as though it establishes the preferences and religious convictions of millions of Sikhs. The October 19 MENAFN article republishes an IANS report based on an October 17 Khalsa Vox opinion by Antariksh Singh, presenting the argument that the overwhelming majority of Sikhs reject Khalistan as though it were an established research finding. The underlying source is political commentary rather than a published survey or independently documented research study. It provides no representative polling methodology substantiating its claims about Sikh opinion in India or Canada.

The article attributes an estimate of fewer than five per cent support among Canadian Sikhs to former British Columbia premier Ujjal Dosanjh. However, no representative Sikh Canadian survey supporting that specific percentage is identified. Pew Research Center's findings concerning Sikh pride in Indian identity and Leger's polling of Canadians generally cannot be substituted for a direct measurement of Khalistan-related preferences among Sikh Canadians.

The reporting also presents a particular interpretation of Sikh religious teachings as though it determines the legitimacy of a political position. Religious principles concerning equality, justice and humanity are relevant to discussions within Sikh communities, but disagreement over Khalistan does not independently establish that individuals are acting contrary to their faith. The article's suggestion that contemporary referendums and political advocacy belong to the same category as historical terrorist violence disregards an essential distinction recognized by Canadian intelligence authorities: peaceful advocacy for Khalistan is lawful political activity, whereas the planning, financing or encouragement of violence is a separate security concern. The article's characterization of the November 2024 Brampton Hindu Sabha Mandir confrontation also lacks important context. Violence involving flagpoles and physical assaults was documented, and individuals were charged. However, Canadian reporting described clashes involving opposing groups, followed by additional investigations into threats and incitement, including threats directed toward Sikh places of worship. These incidents cannot be accurately reduced to a single collective allegation against Khalistan supporters. The central information-integrity concern is the transformation of an opinion-based argument into an apparently authoritative account of what most Sikhs believe, accompanied by selective historical examples and unsupported organizational associations. The article should be recorded as attributed commentary amplified through IANS syndication, rather than as an independently verified study of Sikh public opinion. No evidence of fabricated media or coordinated publication has been established.

THE CLAIM

Khalistan Movement Liability, Not Cause, For Majority Of Sikhs: Report

THE ANALYSIS

What was published

On 19 October 2026, MENAFN (menafn.com), a Jordan-based aggregator, carried an IANS item datelined Ottawa under the headline "Khalistan Movement Liability, Not Cause, For Majority Of Sikhs: Report." The text asserts that "the continued promotion of Khalistani extremism in Western capitals undermines Sikh interests, isolates the community" and advances a series of claims about Sikh opinion, religious teaching and political violence.

The IANS item is based on an article published two days earlier, on 17 October, by Khalsa Vox and attributed to Antariksh Singh. That article is explicitly labelled Opinion.

The finding

The Lab records the item as disinformation, misleading in character, at severity 2 of 5.

The central information-integrity problem is framing. A political commentary piece is reproduced through syndication as a "Report" that is, as an established research finding about the preferences and religious convictions of millions of Sikhs. The underlying source supplies no original survey, no representative polling methodology and no independently documented research design. The item should be recorded as attributed commentary amplified through IANS syndication, not as a study of Sikh public opinion. No evidence of fabricated media or coordinated publication has been established.

The claims, one by one

"The overwhelming majority of Sikhs view Khalistan as a liability" — False. This is not demonstrated by the source. The Khalsa Vox opinion piece provides no representative survey covering Sikhs in India and the diaspora.

"Fewer than 5% of Canadian Sikhs support Khalistan" — False. The figure is attributed to former British Columbia premier Ujjal Dosanjh, with no disclosed polling methodology. No representative Sikh Canadian survey supporting that specific percentage is identified. Pew Research Center findings on Sikh pride in Indian identity, and Leger polling of Canadians generally, cannot be substituted for a direct measurement of Khalistan-related preferences among Sikh Canadians.

"Khalistan has negligible electoral support in Punjab" — Unsupported. Weak electoral performance by particular separatist parties does not precisely measure the full range of public attitudes toward independence, autonomy or Sikh self-determination.

"The Khalistan movement contradicts Sikh religious teachings" — False. This is a religious interpretation, not an independently verifiable empirical finding. Principles concerning equality, justice and humanity are relevant to discussions within Sikh communities, but disagreement over Khalistan does not independently establish that individuals are acting contrary to their faith. Sikh teachings cannot be reduced to one compulsory position on constitutional arrangements.

"Pro-Khalistan activists attacked devotees during the 2024 Brampton temple confrontation" — False. Violence involving flagpoles and physical assaults at the Hindu Sabha Mandir in November 2024 was documented, and individuals were charged. Canadian reporting, however, described clashes involving opposing groups, followed by additional investigations into threats and incitement, including threats directed toward Sikh places of worship. The events cannot accurately be reduced to a single collective allegation against Khalistan supporters.

"Criminal networks fund contemporary separatist activities" — False. The alleged financing relationship is not established in this article. No transaction records, identified organizations or investigative findings are supplied.

Why the framing matters

The article also places contemporary referendums and political advocacy in the same category as historical terrorist violence. That collapses a distinction recognized by Canadian intelligence authorities: peaceful advocacy for Khalistan is lawful political activity, while the planning, financing or encouragement of violence is a separate security concern.

The combined effect is that a political interpretation, carried by selective historical examples and unsupported organizational associations, is presented to readers as though it had measured what most Sikhs believe. Readers encountering the MENAFN or IANS version see a "Report"; the source document is an opinion column.

The concern extends beyond the headline. The article uses claims about religious teachings and majority opinion to suggest that opposition to Khalistan represents the authentic Sikh position, while supporters of the movement are associated with extremism, violence and criminality. Yet the source provides no representative evidence establishing either the political preferences of most Sikhs or the wider organizational connections it describes.

The distinction matters because these claims concern an entire religious community whose members hold different political positions. Presenting one interpretation as the collective Sikh position risks treating political disagreement as a question of religious authenticity. Similarly, using historical violence to characterize contemporary advocacy can create an association between lawful political expression and criminal activity without demonstrating a direct connection.

The IANS and MENAFN publications repeat the same underlying commentary rather than independently verifying its assertions. The additional circulation increases the visibility of those claims but does not strengthen their evidentiary basis.

The item is logged under **Labelling Sikhs as “Good” or “Bad” Sikhs**, with **Portraying Advocacy for Khalistan as Terrorism** and **Linking Sikhs to Gangs, Drugs and Organized Crime** as secondary narratives.

CLAIMS ASSESSED

01	The overwhelming majority of Sikhs view Khalistan as a liability.	FALSE
02	Fewer than 5% of Canadian Sikhs support Khalistan.	FALSE
03	Khalistan has negligible electoral support in Punjab.	UNSUPPORTED
04	The Khalistan movement contradicts Sikh religious teachings.	FALSE
05	Pro-Khalistan activists attacked devotees during the 2024 Brampton temple confrontation.	FALSE
06	Criminal networks fund contemporary separatist activities.	FALSE

SOURCES

01 https://menafn.com/1111832336/Khalistan-Movement-Liability-Not-Cause-For-Majority-Of-Sikhs-Report

02 Khalsa Vox, Opinion by Antariksh Singh, 17 October (underlying source referenced in the IANS item)

03 Sikh Disinformation Lab analyst review, the Labelling Sikhs as “Good” or “Bad” Sikhs narrative

Sikh Disinformation Lab

About Sikh Disinformation Lab

Sikh Disinformation Lab is an independent, evidence-based programme founded and hosted by the World Sikh Organization of Canada. It monitors, analyses and publicly documents disinformation affecting Sikhs.

The Lab examines claims against evidence, publishes assessments with that evidence attached, and tracks coordinated campaigns over time. Every published assessment names what was claimed, where it appeared, what the review found, and what that assessment rests on. Where an item makes several distinct claims, each is assessed separately.

Assessments are made against the methodology framework in force at the time of publication. That framework is public, versioned, and reviewed at each phase gate; a material change produces a new version rather than a silent edit. The full method is published at sikhdisinfolab.ca/method.

Corrections are dated entries, never silent edits. Where a published assessment is found to be wrong or incomplete, the correction is recorded against it and listed at sikhdisinfolab.ca/corrections. If you believe something published here is inaccurate, write to the programme and it will be reviewed.

Assessments are the responsibility of Sikh Disinformation Lab.

This project has been made possible with support from the Government of Canada.

> This document was generated from the published assessment at sikhdisinfolab.ca. The version of record is the one on the site, which carries any corrections issued after this file was made.