Sikh Disinformation Lab

MISLEADING

Post claiming ‘no such thing as peaceful Khalistan advocacy’ rated disinformation

A post published on X by the account @WaliRuchi asserts that “most people claiming to represent Sikhs are either Khalistanis or Khalistan sympathizers” and that “there is no such thing as ‘peaceful Khalistan advocacy’.” The Lab’s review rates the item as disinformation, misleading in framing, at the highest severity band.

PUBLISHED
2 September 2026

SOURCE POSTED
2 September 2026

NARRATIVES
Labelling Sikhs as “Good” or “Bad” Sikhs

OUR ASSESSMENT

(1) Overgeneralization; groups such as Sikhs for Justice frame their activities (referendums, protests, lobbying) as non-violent democratic advocacy. Canadian security assessments have explicitly distinguished non-violent advocacy for Khalistan from extremism or terrorism. Declaring that no peaceful form exists is a strong rhetorical position rather than a settled factual one. (2) Operation Hard Ball was a real 2026 FBI-led international operation that resulted in arrests across the US, Canada, and Europe targeting three India-linked transnational organized crime groups (including the Lawrence Bishnoi network). Charges involved racketeering, drug trafficking, extortion, firearms offences, and murder-for-hire. US indictments linked Bishnoi and associates to the 2023 killing of Hardeep Singh Nijjar. These are primarily criminal syndicates. While some alleged overlaps or associations with Khalistani elements or separatist figures exist in reporting and Indian government narratives, the operation itself was framed by US authorities as targeting organized crime, not as an indictment of the broader Khalistan political movement. Presenting it as exposing "the current face of the Khalistan movement" is an interpretive leap. (3) Broader characterization ("Khalistanis do not represent any community. They just represent themselves."). Partially aligns with polling and observations that support for an independent Khalistan is low in Punjab itself and rejected by many diaspora Sikhs. However, the movement does draw support from a vocal minority, and some individuals and groups claim to speak for Sikh political aspirations. Absolute dismissal ignores that complexity.

THE CLAIM

QT @WaliRuchi: And most people claiming to represent Sikhs are either Khalistanis or Khalistan sympathizers. There is no such thing as 'peaceful Khalistan advocacy'. Khalistan ha s a bloody history in both India & Canada—killings, rape, the...

THE ANALYSIS

What was published

On 2 September 2026, the account **@WaliRuchi** posted on X, as a quote-tweet, a statement asserting that "most people claiming to represent Sikhs are either Khalistanis or Khalistan sympathizers," that "there is no such thing as 'peaceful Khalistan advocacy'," and that "Khalistan has a bloody history in both India & Canada — killings, rape" and further alleged harms. The item was captured with an origin country of Canada and is written in English.

The post also, per the Lab's review, presents the 2026 law-enforcement action known as Operation Hard Ball as exposing "the current face of the Khalistan movement," and characterizes Khalistan supporters as follows: "Khalistanis do not represent any community. They just represent themselves."

The Lab assessed the item under the Labelling Sikhs as "Good" or "Bad" Sikhs narrative.

Verdict

Disinformation. Verdict nuance: misleading. Severity: 5 of 5.

The review does not find that every element of the post is fabricated. It finds that the post converts contested and interpretive claims into flat assertions of fact, and attaches a criminal-enforcement action to a political movement that the action itself did not target.

What the review found

1. The categorical denial of peaceful advocacy is a rhetorical position, not a settled fact.

The Lab's analyst notes that the assertion rests on overgeneralization. Groups such as Sikhs for Justice frame their activities — referendums, protests, lobbying — as non-violent democratic advocacy. Canadian security assessments have explicitly distinguished non-violent advocacy for Khalistan from extremism or terrorism. Declaring that no peaceful form of such advocacy can exist is therefore a strong rhetorical stance rather than an established factual finding, and stating it as fact is misleading.

2. Operation Hard Ball was an organized-crime operation, not an indictment of a political movement.

The operation referenced in the post was real. Per the review, Operation Hard Ball was an FBI-led international operation in 2026 that produced arrests across the United States, Canada and Europe, targeting three India-linked transnational organized crime groups, including the Lawrence Bishnoi network. Charges involved racketeering, drug trafficking, extortion, firearms offences and murder-for-hire. US indictments linked Bishnoi and associates to the 2023 killing of Hardeep Singh Nijjar.

The entities targeted were primarily criminal syndicates. The review acknowledges that reporting and Indian government narratives have described alleged overlaps or associations between some individuals and Khalistani elements or separatist figures. But the operation itself was framed by US authorities as targeting organized crime — not as an action against the broader Khalistan political movement. Presenting the operation as revealing "the current face of the Khalistan movement" is, in the analyst's assessment, an interpretive leap that the underlying enforcement record does not support.

The framing is particularly consequential because the same indictments tie the network in question to the killing of a Canadian Sikh. Recasting that enforcement action as an exposure of Khalistan advocacy inverts the direction of the alleged criminality described in the charges.

3. The blanket dismissal of representation is partially grounded but overstated.

The post's claim that "Khalistanis do not represent any community" partially aligns with polling and observations indicating that support for an independent Khalistan is low within Punjab itself and is rejected by many diaspora Sikhs. However, the review notes that the movement does draw support from a vocal minority, and that some individuals and groups do claim to speak for Sikh political aspirations. An absolute dismissal ignores that complexity.

Why the framing matters

The central defect identified in this item is not a single false statistic. It is the collapsing of distinct categories: political advocacy, criminal syndicates, and historical violence are merged into a single undifferentiated claim about who speaks for Sikhs. Canadian security assessments themselves maintain the distinction between advocacy and extremism that the post denies exists.

The Lab's assessment is limited to the content of the flagged item. The review makes no assertion about the intent of the account that published it, or about coordination with any other actor.

SOURCES

01 https://x.com/WaliRuchi/status/2094951319186362840

02 The Lab's analyst review record, flagged item of 2026-09-02, the Labelling Sikhs as “Good” or “Bad” Sikhs narrative (status: Disinformation; nuance: Misleading; severity 5/5)

Sikh Disinformation Lab

About Sikh Disinformation Lab

Sikh Disinformation Lab is an independent, evidence-based programme founded and hosted by the World Sikh Organization of Canada. It monitors, analyses and publicly documents disinformation affecting Sikhs.

The Lab examines claims against evidence, publishes assessments with that evidence attached, and tracks coordinated campaigns over time. Every published assessment names what was claimed, where it appeared, what the review found, and what that assessment rests on. Where an item makes several distinct claims, each is assessed separately.

Assessments are made against the methodology framework in force at the time of publication. That framework is public, versioned, and reviewed at each phase gate; a material change produces a new version rather than a silent edit. The full method is published at sikhdisinfolab.ca/method.

Corrections are dated entries, never silent edits. Where a published assessment is found to be wrong or incomplete, the correction is recorded against it and listed at sikhdisinfolab.ca/corrections. If you believe something published here is inaccurate, write to the programme and it will be reviewed.

Assessments are the responsibility of Sikh Disinformation Lab.

This project has been made possible with support from the Government of Canada.

> This document was generated from the published assessment at sikhdisinfolab.ca. The version of record is the one on the site, which carries any corrections issued after this file was made.