Sikh Disinformation Lab

MISLEADING

Republished Indian commentary on Canada–India ties omits author's expulsion from Canada

Investment Guru India republished an IANS summary of an opinion piece by former Indian High Commissioner Sanjay Kumar Verma on the normalization of Canada–India relations. The Lab assesses the item as misleading: it does not disclose that its author was among six Indian officials expelled by Canada in October 2024, and it cites CSIS on Khalistani extremism while omitting the agency's explicit distinction between peaceful advocacy and a small violent subset.

PUBLISHED
19 October 2026

SOURCE POSTED
16 October 2026

NARRATIVES
Portraying Foreign Governments as Safe Havens for Sikh Activists · Portraying Advocacy for Khalistan as Terrorism

OUR ASSESSMENT

Investment Guru India republishes an IANS summary of former Indian High Commissioner Sanjay Kumar Verma's October 16 India Narrative opinion on Canada–India diplomatic normalization. Its principal information-integrity concern is the omission of material context concerning its original author. Verma was among six Indian diplomatic officials expelled by Canada in October 2024 following RCMP investigations into alleged Indian government-linked criminal activity, including threats to members of the pro-Khalistan community. The report identifies him as a former high commissioner but does not disclose this direct connection to the dispute he is analyzing. The article further emphasizes Verma's concerns about Khalistani extremism by citing the CSIS Public Report 2025 while omitting the report's explicit distinction between lawful, peaceful Khalistan advocacy and a small subset of violent extremist actors.

The reporting chain is identifiable: Verma's original opinion appeared in India Narrative, IANS summarized it, and Investment Guru India republished the summary. These are not independent assessments of the same underlying claims.

The article is relevant to the existing Nijjar and diplomatic-normalization case as an example of how the 2024 crisis is retrospectively framed through bilateral security cooperation and Khalistani extremism, with limited attention to Canada's findings concerning alleged transnational repression.

THE CLAIM

India, Canada need to build durable ties amid diplomatic turnaround

THE ANALYSIS

What was published

On 16 October 2026, the Indian financial site investmentguruindia.com published an article headlined "India, Canada need to build durable ties amid diplomatic turnaround." The piece argues that after a prolonged estrangement, India and Canada have turned their bilateral relationship around, and it raises the continuing presence of Canada-based Khalistani extremists as a national-security concern.

The reporting chain is identifiable. The original opinion was written by former Indian High Commissioner to Canada Sanjay Kumar Verma and appeared in India Narrative. The news agency IANS summarized it, and Investment Guru India republished that summary. These are not three independent assessments of the same underlying claims; they are one commentary carried through two further outlets.

The Lab's verdict

The Lab assesses the item as disinformation, with the nuance *misleading*, severity 3 of 5, and factual accuracy rated as containing inaccuracies.

The principal information-integrity concern is not a single fabricated assertion but the omission of material context about the author. Verma was among six Indian diplomatic officials expelled by Canada in October 2024, following

RCMP investigations into alleged Indian government-linked criminal activity, including threats against members of the pro-Khalistan community. The article identifies him as a former high commissioner. It does not disclose his direct connection to the very dispute he is analyzing. Readers assessing the commentary's framing of the 2024 rupture are not given the information they would need to weigh the author's position within it.

A second omission concerns sourcing. The article emphasizes Verma's concerns about Khalistani extremism by citing the CSIS Public Report 2025. It omits that report's explicit distinction between lawful, peaceful Khalistan advocacy and a small subset of actors engaged in violent extremism. Dropping that distinction converts a narrow finding into a broad one.

The claims

Claim 1: "Khalistani extremism threatens Canada's security and way of life." — False.

This is a broad characterization advanced by Verma. CSIS does not identify a national-security threat arising from Khalistan advocacy generally. The agency's assessment distinguishes peaceful political advocacy, which is lawful in Canada, from a small number of violent extremist actors. Presenting the threat at the level of "Khalistani extremism" as a feature of Canadian life misstates what the cited source actually says.

Claim 2: The 2024 rupture in Canada–India relations was caused by security concerns about Khalistani extremist organizations. — Misleading.

This is incomplete as an explanation. Canada's actions in October 2024 also involved allegations of Indian government agents participating in violence, intimidation and interference on Canadian soil. An account of the rupture that attributes it solely to Canadian tolerance of Khalistani organizations leaves out the substance of the Canadian findings that precipitated the expulsions, including the expulsion of the article's own author.

Context

The item is relevant to the ongoing Nijjar and diplomatic-normalization case file. It is an example of how the 2024 crisis is being retrospectively framed through the language of bilateral security cooperation and Khalistani extremism, with limited attention to Canada's findings concerning alleged transnational repression.

The Lab assigns the item to the Portraying Foreign Governments as Safe Havens for Sikh Activists narrative.

The concern here is editorial rather than personal. Commentary by a former diplomat on a dispute he was party to is legitimate material for publication. The integrity problem arises when that commentary is carried forward through a wire summary and a republication without disclosure of the author's role, and when a cited intelligence assessment is invoked while the qualification central to it is dropped.

CLAIMS ASSESSED

01	Khalistani extremism threatens Canada's security and way of life.	FALSE
02	The 2024 rupture was caused by security concerns about Khalistani extremist organizations.	MISLEADING

SOURCES

01 https://investmentguruindia.com/newsdetail/india-canada-need-to-build-durable-ties-amid-diplomatic-turnaround303843

02 The Lab's analyst review of the above item (status: Disinformation; nuance: Misleading; severity 3; the Portraying Foreign Governments as Safe Havens for Sikh Activists narrative)

03 CSIS Public Report 2025, as cited and characterized in the analyst review

04 India Narrative opinion by Sanjay Kumar Verma, 16 October 2026 (originating item in the reporting chain)

05 IANS summary of the India Narrative opinion (intermediate item in the reporting chain)

Sikh Disinformation Lab

About Sikh Disinformation Lab

Sikh Disinformation Lab is an independent, evidence-based programme founded and hosted by the World Sikh Organization of Canada. It monitors, analyses and publicly documents disinformation affecting Sikhs.

The Lab examines claims against evidence, publishes assessments with that evidence attached, and tracks coordinated campaigns over time. Every published assessment names what was claimed, where it appeared, what the review found, and what that assessment rests on. Where an item makes several distinct claims, each is assessed separately.

Assessments are made against the methodology framework in force at the time of publication. That framework is public, versioned, and reviewed at each phase gate; a material change produces a new version rather than a silent edit. The full method is published at sikhdisinfolab.ca/method.

Corrections are dated entries, never silent edits. Where a published assessment is found to be wrong or incomplete, the correction is recorded against it and listed at sikhdisinfolab.ca/corrections. If you believe something published here is inaccurate, write to the programme and it will be reviewed.

Assessments are the responsibility of Sikh Disinformation Lab.

This project has been made possible with support from the Government of Canada.

> This document was generated from the published assessment at sikhdisinfolab.ca. The version of record is the one on the site, which carries any corrections issued after this file was made.